

RAPID RESPONSE QUESTIONS & ANSWERS (con't)

171. Sec C, pg 19 – 20, UXO Safety and UXO QC – are the letters of commitment requested counted under the page count? (R-0022)

See Amendment 0003

172. Page L-22, Items (9) and (10) both state:

"If not currently a direct hire employee of the prime contractor, a letter of commitment from this individual will accompany his/her resume stating they will become an employee of the prime at the time of award of a UXO Task Order."

a. In lieu of a "letter" of commitment, can we provide a signed statement within the body of the individual's resume signifying his/her commitment to become an employee of the prime?

No

b. If a separate letter of commitment is required, can these 2 letters be excluded from the 24-page limitation?

See Amendment 0003

173. Page L-23, paragraph b., states in part:

****Note: If the Offeror decides to use the same project and same Owner?client Past Performance Survey Form to submit a proposal submittal under one of all three of the Omaha District Rapid Response Contracts, the Offeror may indicate this at the top of their Owner/Client Past Performance Survey. This should be done at the top of the survey stating all applicable Rapid Response solicitation numbers and project numbers."*

If Offeror A is including in its proposal a past performance project from a teaming subcontractor who is submitting as Offeror B under one of the other Rapid Response solicitations (and including the same Owner/Client Past Performance Survey form in their proposal). Can Offeror A reference Offeror B's name, solicitation number and project number on its form? This prevents the Owner/client from having to complete two separate survey forms for the same project, and reference two different solicitations when returning them to you offices.

Yes. Please see Amendment 0001 and Amendment 0003 for further clarification

174. Respectfully, I would like to request a one to two week extension for the above referenced solicitation. In that the last amendment just came out April 23, and now must be integrated into the original and the first amendment, it would be extremely helpful to have several additional work days. Thank you for your attention to this request. (R-0021)

At this time no extension is anticipated.

175. Section L.17 Phase II – Evaluation Criteria – Page L-27 from Amendment 3) says, "Following the completion of Phase I evaluations, an On-site interview of certain elements of the offeror's facilities (home office / program management office) will be conducted of those firms determined to be within the interim competitive range after Phase I."

During the preproposal conference, the Government indicated verbally that Phase II interviews of large businesses would be performed at the Government's offices, not at the Offeror's "home office / program management office." However, neither Amendment 2 nor Amendment 3 addressed the change of interview location. For planning purposes, will the Government please inform us whether they intend to perform Phase II interviews of large business offerors at the offerors' home offices / program management offices, or at the Government's offices? (R-0023)

As stated above, the Government indicated at the pre-proposal conference that Phase II interviews would be conducted at the offeror's facilities with Solicitations DACA45-03-R-0021 (Section 8a) and DACA45-03-R-0022 (Small Business Set Aside), but interviews would be conducted at the Government's offices on Solicitation DACA45-03-R-0023 (Unrestricted).

However, after additional consideration, interviews will be conducted at the offeror's facilities on all solicitations.

No change will be made to the solicitation.

176. a. Volume I, Section C, Staff Organization, Safety, Tab 3, Key Personnel. In several areas of Section and the RFP, reference is made to a Site Superintendent/Site Supervisor managing field operations. However there is no listing of this position in the Section B firm fixed pricing tables or under personnel requirements.

b. Volume I, Section B, Firm Fixed Pricing Tables. Section B does not list a site superintendent or site supervisor referred to in other Sections of the RFP. Should this category be added to Section B? Please clarify.

Noted on a & b. We do not anticipate incorporating this discipline into Section B.

c. Further it is our understanding that the Rapid Program is currently executed using a Project Manager overseeing Task Order implementation and a Site Superintendent running field operations. Does the USACE intend for a Project Manager to fill both TO site and office functions or is the Project Manager overseeing TO execution and site superintendent running field operations? Please clarify.

Under the current contracts, this is determined on an individual Task Order basis.